Effects of circulation and buoyancy on the transition from a fire whirl to a blue whirl

Sriram Bharath Hariharan Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park

Yu Hu

Laboratory of Advanced Space Propulsion, Beijing Institute of Control Engineering, Beijing, P.R. China

> Michael J. Gollner Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Berkeley

> Elaine S. Oran Department of Aerospace Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station

> > (Dated: August 8, 2020)

The relative influence of circulation and buoyancy on fire whirls (FW), blue whirls (BW), and the transition between these regimes of a whirling flame is investigated using a combination of experimental data and scaling analyses. FWs are whirling, turbulent, cylindrical yellow (sooting) flame structures that form naturally in fires and are here created in laboratory experiments. In contrast, a BW is a laminar, blue flame (non-sooting) with an inverted conical shape. Prior measurements of the circulation and heat-release rate are now combined with additional measurements of the flame geometry, particularly the width and height, to provide characteristic length scales for these flames. Using these, a nondimensional circulation (Γ_f^*) and heat-release rate (\dot{Q}_f^*) were defined and shown to correspond to azimuthal (buoyancy driven) and axial momenta, respectively. The ratio $\mathcal{R}^* = \Gamma_f^*/\dot{Q}_f^*$, a quantity analogous to the swirl number used to characterize swirling jets, was evaluated for FWs and BWs. For FWs, $\mathcal{R}^* < 1$, so that axial momentum is greater than azimuthal momentum and the flame is dominated by buoyant momentum. For BWs, $\mathcal{R}^* > 1$, so that the flame is circulation dominated. This is argued to be consistent with vortex breakdown being an important part of the transition of FWs to BWs. This work presents a basis for predicting when a BW will form and remain a stable regime.

Keywords: blue whirl; fire whirl; scaling; vortex breakdown

I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction of reacting buoyant plumes and swirling flow fields gives rise to structures called fire whirls. The formation and dynamics of fire whirls are important because of the devastating effects they can have in urban and wildland fires [1–3]. Both the structure and the dynamic behavior of fire whirls are dominated by the effects of buoyancy, which arises from heat release, and by circulation, determined by the level of ambient swirl. In laboratory-scale experimental investigations, the combination of heat-release rate (\dot{Q}) and circulation (Γ) can be controlled to determine the shapes of fire whirls, which have been classified into a number of regimes [4].

The blue whirl is a small, soot-free flame that was first observed in an experimental study of fire whirls formed on a water surface [5]. The transition to the blue whirl occurred naturally, as air was entrained through tangential inlets and without the aid of externally forced air entrainment. The fire whirls that exist before blue-whirl formation are much larger in height than the blue whirl and exist at the laminar-turbulent transition flame regime, evidenced by wrinkled laminar flames at the flame base. In contrast, the blue whirl regime is characterized by a much smaller length scale (see Figure 1) and is a laminar flame with no visual or aural indications of turbulence [5]. The unexpected transition from a fire whirl to a blue whirl involves laminarization of the flow, which suggests that the interplay between \dot{Q} and Γ is different from that in fire whirls.

Lei et al. [4] showed the influence of \dot{Q} and Γ on the shape of fire whirls formed in an Emmons-type [2] firewhirl apparatus where swirl is generated by a spinning mesh around a central flame. Their $\dot{Q}-\Gamma$ map showed the strong influence of Γ on the overall shape of fire whirls, especially on the flame shape at the base. This map



FIG. 1. Images of fire whirl regimes formed at a gap size of S = 35 mm. The experimental configuration is shown in Figure 4. The fuel burning rate, \dot{V} , varies from (A) to (I) as 0.5, 1.1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4.5, 6, 8 and 10 ml/min. Panels A-B show BWs, C-E show TBWs, and F-I show FWs.

showed an "extinction limit jump," a sharp increase in the threshold \dot{Q} below which fire whirls were extinguished for all values of Γ . In recent work, the $\dot{Q} - \Gamma$ map was extended to include the blue whirl regime [6]. This extended map showed that the combinations of \dot{Q} and Γ that led to blue-whirl formation was within the extinction limit defined in [4]. The formation of blue whirls in this region also requires experimental apparatus to satisfy surface-boundary conditions [7] that were absent in previous work.

In the literature, scaling analyses have often been used to identify parameters and quantities to describe the occurrence of fire whirls [8–11]. The recent extension of this analysis to blue whirls [6] showed that blue whirls exist in a regime distinct from traditional fire whirls. The transition from the fire whirl to the blue whirl was previously hypothesized to be the result of the onset of vortex breakdown [5, 12], which is characterized by the presence of a recirculation zone (RZ) [13]. Subsequently, the presence of a RZ was shown to exist in the blue whirl [7], qualitatively confirming these suggestions. The presence of the RZ was observed using streaks of incandescent soot within the conical region of the flame. Since soot particles are not present in a stable blue whirl, the RZ is visible fleetingly during the transition process.

The onset of vortex breakdown is driven by the relative magnitude of local momenta in the axial and azimuthal directions. Different types of vortex breakdown can occur in both nonreacting [14, 15] and reacting flows [16, 17]. The momenta in these directions were compared using a scalar quantity, called the swirl number, S, defined as the ratio of axial flux of azimuthal momentum to the axial flux of axial momentum [18]

$$S = \frac{\int_0^R u_z \, u_\theta \, r^2 \, dr}{R \int_0^R u_z^2 \, r \, dr}$$

where u_z and u_θ are the axial and azimuthal velocities, and R is the radius of the vortex core. For an experimental apparatus, however, the definition is simplified and defined based on the geometry of the swirler, with different expressions for axial and radial swirlers [17]. In swirl burners, the RZ develops at a threshold value of



FIG. 2. Images of the blue whirl where the RZ is visualized by streaks of incandescent soot particles. Images (A) and (B) were captured with exposure times of 1/60 s and 1/100s, respectively.

 $\mathcal{S} \approx 0.6$ [18].

In fire whirls, the axial and azimuthal momenta are represented by buoyancy and circulation, respectively. The purpose of this work is to test this hypothesis: The flow field of the blue whirl is a state of vortex breakdown, that occurs when the local azimuthal momentum becomes higher than the axial momentum, and this blue whirl retains properties of the bubble mode. We approach this from the experimental point of view by reinvestigating the scaling, which helps us quantitatively distinguish between the fire- and blue-whirl regimes. Through this approach, the entrainment conditions required to generate the blue whirl at other length scales are also explored.

Here, we use the raw data for \dot{Q} and Γ , taken from previous experiments, and define new nondimensional quantities to quantify the role played by buoyancy and circulation in whirling-flames. These quantities are of the same order of magnitude and can be used to differentiate between buoyancy- and circulation-dominated regimes. A nondimensional ratio analogous to S is used to establish a threshold value for the onset of vortex breakdown in whirling flames, corresponding to formation of the blue whirl. We find that when the flow field is dominated by buoyancy, the traditional fire whirl develops, and when circulation dominates, there is a transition to the blue whirl. The scaling analysis is used to reinterpret fire whirl data in the literature to give an explanation of why this unique transition was not discovered in previous fire whirl experiments.

A. Background

To date, formation of a blue whirl has always been preceded by a fire whirl. In addition, a blue whirl can be formed from a variety of different liquid hydrocarbon fuels. Experimental measurements show that it has peak temperatures of about 2000 K [19–21] and can also be formed on other types of smooth surfaces such as metals [7]. There is intense combustion in the bright blue ring, as evidenced by high concentrations of OH, OH* and CH* radicals in this region [7, 20].

Recent experiments [6] allow us to extend previous scaling analyses to include the blue whirl, providing useful context to the material in the current article. In [6], the experimental setup was a fixed-frame fire-whirl apparatus formed by two semi-cylindrical quartz segments. The semi-cylinders form an enclosure within which combustion occurs, and the offset distance between the pieces creates a gap that allows air entrainment into the enclosure. Various combinations of fuel flow rate (V) and gap size (S) were used to generate fire whirls and blue whirls. The flow velocity into the enclosure was measured at selected axial (vertical) locations using a DANTEC 54T42 Mini CTA anemometer attached to a DANTEC 55P16 hot-wire probe, which was positioned at the center of one gap. These velocity measurements were used to find an approximate circulation for each flame regime according to $\Gamma = \pi U_{\theta} D_C$, where U_{θ} is the tangential velocity measured at the inlet, and D_C is the diameter of the enclosure. Assuming complete combustion of the supplied fuel, the heat-release rate was calculated as $\dot{Q} = \dot{V} \Delta h_c \rho_f$, where Δh_c is the lower heating value (LHV) and ρ_f is the density of liquid n-heptane.

The combination of S and \dot{V} influences the shape of the flame, and three flame regimes were identified: the blue whirl (BW), the stable fire whirl (FW), and the transitional blue whirl (TBW). Images of the different regimes for S = 35 mm are shown in Figure 1, and the full data set from [6] is summarized graphically in Figure 3. For high values of \dot{V} , unsteady FWs were formed with very large diameters, termed as Large Fire Whirls (LFWs). These impeded safe operation of the hot-wire anemometer and were thus avoided in experiments. These raw data were used in a scaling analysis to determine nondimensional quantities for global scaling using the apparatus diameter (D_C) as the characteristic length scale. Although the apparatus diameter or gap size are not intrinsic properties of the FW itself, they have been used in



FIG. 3. Influence of S and \dot{V} on the fire whirl regime, adapted from [6].

the literature [8, 9] for scaling purposes since they influence ambient circulation in FW apparatus with natural air entrainment.

This analysis showed that the BW was close to the extinction limit defined previously [4]. The BW formation limits, defined on the basis of \dot{Q} and Γ , as well as the relationship between nondimensional heat-release rate (\dot{Q}_D^*) and nondimensional circulation (Γ_D^*) , were both sensitive to the gap size between the half-cylinders. The extinction limit for FW regimes was extended by the presence of the BW, and this was attributed to the experimental conditions at the bottom surface over which they formed [7].

Using fixed length scales (such as apparatus or burner diameter) in scaling analyses [4, 22] results in quantities that denote general large-scale effects. For instance, the predictions of flame height of FWs for known values of Γ and \dot{Q} . The use of characteristic length scales derived from flame geometry represent effects of the local flow field. In the present work, the raw data for Γ and \dot{Q} is combined with new data on flame geometry. To understand the local effects and the controlling factors in the transition to the BW, flame height, H, and flame width, w_f , are used as characteristic length scales to normalize Γ and \dot{Q} .

The approach presented in this article was taken to help quantify the effects of the primary competing forces in whirling flames, buoyancy and circulation. By incorporating H and w_f into Γ and \dot{Q} , local buoyancy and circulation in the flame are represented by their respective nondimensional quantities, \dot{Q}_f^* and Γ_f^* . Their ratio then present a basis for distinguishing buoyancy- and circulation-dominated regimes in whirling flames.



FIG. 4. Schematic of experimental apparatus.

II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The experimental apparatus (Figure 4), similar to that used in previous work [5–7, 19], consisted of two quartz half-cylinders (310 mm diameter, 600 mm height) suspended on an aluminum frame. The two quartz pieces were positioned over a water pan and offset from each other, forming gaps for natural entrainment of air. Liquid fuel, here n-heptane, was supplied to the water surface using a syringe pump at a constant volumetric flow rate. Upon ignition of the fuel, the buoyant flow due to heat release within the enclosure draws air into the enclosure through the two gaps. For all experiments, a short "overlap" region was constructed from thin sheets of aluminum to form a channel at each inlet (see Figure 4). The effect of this inlet channel is discussed in section III. All data were obtained with these overlapping inlet channels.

A typical experiment involved the injection of 10 ml of fuel onto the water surface, followed by ignition using a propane torch. Initially, a pool fire was formed and lasted for a few seconds before evolving to a FW. Upon transition to a fire whirl, fuel was supplied at a constant rate using the syringe pump. After about 60 s, the rate of fuel supply (from the syringe pump) and consumption (by the FW) were nearly equal, and a stable FW or BW formed, depending on the gap size and fuel supply rate. For a given gap size, S, the regime of the FW depended on the fuel supply rate, \dot{V} , which was varied in the range of [0.5, 10.0] ml/min. S was varied in the range [15.0, 55.0] mm. Due to the natural-entrainment configuration, the only regimes that formed resulted from a balance between these parameters.

Measurements were made of flame geometry for each regime. Videos (1280 x 720 pixel resolution) of each experiment were recorded at 60 fps for 60 s using a Sony RX10II, with an aperture of f/5.6. The flame width (w_f) and flame height (H) were obtained by averaging the flame contour on multiple frames. From each 60 s video, three separate periods of 0–12 s, 24–36 s and 48-60 s were chosen, and 720 individual frames were extracted from each period. The resulting 2160 RGB images for each experimental condition were converted into grayscale images, which were then converted to a binary format in *ImageJ* [23] using Otsu's method [24] to determine a binarization threshold. This method was reliable since exposure in the videos was set such that there was a dark background in contrast to the bright flame, resulting in maximum intensity separation at the edge of the flame sheet. The mean binary value for each pixel was obtained by stacking all 720 binary images for each time period. Dividing the mean binary value by 256 (the range of intensity values in gray scale), the probability of the flame appearance for each pixel was determined, giving the probability contours of the flame. The mean flame contour was defined by a probability of 0.5 based on previous literature [25].

Based on this mean contour, the flame width measured at the widest cross-section, was found ~10 mm above the water surface for FWs, and the width of the bright blue ring (also called vortex rim in [19]) was used as the flame width for both the BW and TBW. The flame height was measured at the highest position of the continuous flame region above the water surface. For each time period, one set of w_f and H was obtained, and an overall average was obtained by calculating a mean from the values for the three time periods recorded and binarized.

III. DEPENDENCE OF FLAME GEOMETRY ON \dot{Q} and Γ

Figure 5 shows the variation of the flame geometry parameters, w_f and H with both Γ and \dot{Q} . The narrow error bars for the FW and BW regimes reflects the relative stability of their flame geometry during the 60 s period analyzed (Figure 5 A and B). TBWs, on the other hand, show significant changes in flame geometry parameters due to their repeated transitions between the FW and BW regimes. The FW shows the highest values of w_f , which is not a strong function of Γ or \dot{Q} . The dashed line in Figure 5C shows a slight decrease from the BW to the TBW, followed by an increase for FWs.

The value of H, however, varies with both Γ and Q. The increase in H with Γ is shown in Figure 5B. The curve is approximated by the fit in Equation 1, which has a R^2 value of 0.55. The fit in Equation 1 does not include data points for S = 15 mm in Figure 5C. The data points for S = 15 mm (solid square markers) follow a trend different from the other conditions. This bifurcation behavior was discussed in previous work [6]. The relationship between H and \dot{Q} , shown in Figure 5D, is given by Equation 2, which has a R^2 (coefficient of determination) value of 0.96.

$$H = 52.03 \ \Gamma^{3.89} \tag{1}$$

$$H = 2.91 \ \dot{Q}^{1.19} \tag{2}$$

The effect of the inlet channels (see Figure 4) on the fluctuation in H for BWs is shown in Figure 6. The BW is particularly sensitive to ambient perturbations, which cause H to fluctuate significantly when the inlet channel is not present. This results in the large variations in H, seen in Figure 6A, particularly near the extinction and transition limits. When the inlet channel was present, the variation in H for BWs decreased even in conditions close to the extinction and transition limits (Figure 6B). Furthermore, the BW formation limits were also extended when the inlet channels are present. The differences between Figure 6 A and B reflect the role of the inlet channels in stabilizing the BW. The experimental data obtained with the inlet channel was used below in the scaling analysis since they correspond to more stable experimental conditions.

IV. DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

Based on a review of previous scaling methods used for FWs [10], the parameters governing circulation (Γ) of a FW in a fixed-frame type apparatus are

$$\Gamma = f_1(Q, S, w_f, H, D_C, T_0, \rho_0, \Delta T, \Delta \rho, C_{p,0}, g) \quad (3)$$

where Γ , \dot{Q} , S, w_f , H and D_C are as defined previously. The quantities T_0 , ρ_0 , ΔT , $\Delta \rho$, $C_{p,0}$ and g are ambient temperature, ambient density, excess temperature, change in density, specific heat of air at T_0 , and gravitational acceleration. Equation 3 assumes that (i) circulation is independent of axial location of the FW, (ii) viscosity is negligible relative to inertial and buoyant forces, and (iv) combustion is infinitely fast and steady.

As shown in Figure 1, the geometry of the flame may be used to distinguish among the different flame regimes. Specifically, H strongly depends on Γ and \dot{Q} (Figure 5). Using H and w_f as characteristic length scales, D_C , T_0 , ρ_0 and g were chosen as the basic physical parameters to apply the Buckingham–II theorem [26] on Equation 3, yielding 8 nondimensional II terms shown below.

$$\left(\Gamma_{f}^{*}, H^{*}, \frac{w_{f}}{D_{C}}\right) = f_{2}\left(\dot{Q}_{f}^{*}, S^{*}, \frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}, \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho_{0}}, \frac{g w_{f}}{C_{p,0} \Delta T}\right) (4)$$

The dimensionless circulation is defined as $\Gamma_f^* = (\Gamma / (D_C \sqrt{gH})) = (\pi U_{\theta} / \sqrt{gH})$ which is analogous to the Froude number, defined as $Fr = (U^2/gd)$. The quantity $\dot{Q}_f^* = \dot{Q} / (C_{p,0} \Delta T \rho_0 w_f^2 \sqrt{gH})$ is the dimensionless heat-release rate, representing the ratio of the actual heat-release to a reference combustion enthalpy $(V/(w_f^2\sqrt{gH}))$, where the subscript 'f' denotes normalization by a flame dimension. In the quantity \dot{Q}_f^* , the denominator $(C_{p,0} \Delta T \rho_0 w_f^2 \sqrt{gH})$ denotes the volumetric distribution of the heat-release rate for a non-swirling diffusion flame of width w_f and height H. Thus, \dot{Q}_f^* reflects an enhancement in combustion intensity due to the presence of swirl, and also represents the buoyancy due to heat release. This is discussed further in section V.

The ratio $S^* = S/D_C$ is the dimensionless gap size, a geometric feature of the setup. The quantity (w_f/D_C) is nearly constant for a given regime (see Figure 5). The quantities $(\Delta T/T_0)$ and $(\Delta \rho/\rho_0)$ can be assumed to be nearly constant for most fires [27], and $(g w_f/C_{p,0} \Delta T)$ indicates the ratio of potential energy to thermal energy, which is small enough to be neglected here. Hence, Equation 4 reduces to

$$(\Gamma_f^*, H^*) = f_3(\dot{Q}_f^*, S^*) \tag{5}$$

$$H^* = f_4(\Gamma_f^*, \dot{Q}_f^*, S^*) \tag{6}$$

where $H^* = H/w_f$.

According to Equation 6, H^* depends on Γ_f^* , \dot{Q}_f^* and S^* . Figure 7A shows that \dot{Q}_f^* has minimal influence on H^* , with large differences between the values for the BW and FW. So, H^* changes only when the flame regime changes, and for each flame regime, the influence of \dot{Q}_f^* is limited. This is particularly true for the BW regime, which has a very narrow band of H^* . For a given flame regime, H^* does not show any trend with S^* .

The quantity H^* , however, does depend on Γ_f^* , as shown in Figure 7B. Figure 7A shows that there is a difference of an order magnitude in H^* between the BW and FW regimes. The solid line in Figure 7B shows the power-law relationship between H^* and Γ_f^* , approximated by Equation 7 with an R^2 of 0.9.

$$H^* = 15.49/(\Gamma_f^{*2}) \tag{7}$$

When compared to FWs, BWs form in a region of relatively high Γ_f^* and low H^* (Figure 7B). BW formation occurs for $\Gamma_f^* \in [2.4, 5.2]$, while FWs generally form in a much narrower region where $\Gamma_f^* \in [1.0, 1.4]$. The FWs for $S^* = 0.045$ deviate slightly from this trend and occur closer to $\Gamma_f^* \approx 2$. Below $\Gamma_f^* = 2.4$, which is the BW transition limit in Figure 7B, H^* begins to increase rapidly. Generally, these results show that the dimensionless circulation, Γ_f^* , has a significant impact on H^* , and appears in this analysis as an important parameter to understand the transition between the FW and BW flame regimes.

The LFW limit is near $\Gamma_f^* = 1$. The LFW was unsteady and its diameter was comparable to that of the enclosure, which did not permit any measurements. Consequently, below we use data for LFWs from the literature to calculate the required nondimensional quantities.



FIG. 5. Variation of w_f (A, B) and H (C, D) with Γ and \dot{Q} . The hashed section in panels B and D indicates the limited variation in H for the BW. In panel B, flame regimes at S = 15 mm are not considered for the curve-fit shown and follow a different trend. This difference at low S^* is discussed in [6].



FIG. 6. Variation of H with \dot{Q} , shown for cases (A) without and (B) with the inlet channels for the BW. The vertical dashed lines, green (*left*) and magenta (*right*), indicate limits of extinction and transition, respectively.



FIG. 7. (A) Variation of H^* with \dot{Q}_f^* . The range of H^* for the BW and FW do not overlap, showing that it varies only when the regime changes. The colored stripes denote the range of H^* for each regime. S^* does not influence H^* , particularly in the BW regime. (B) Variation of Γ_f^* with H^* , with the different transition limits shown as dotted lines.

In the following section, we will focus on the relationship between Γ_f^* and \dot{Q}_f^* , both of which are $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

V. DISCUSSION

The three nondimensional quantities, Γ_f^* , \dot{Q}_f^* and H^* have different relationships for the FW and BW regimes and may be used to provide insight on the local effects governing the transition from FWs to BWs. Going from the BW to the FW, while w_f is relatively constant with \dot{V} , H increases continuously. Thus, H and H^* distinguish these regimes. The near-linear increase in H with V is similar in behavior to laminar jet diffusion flames [28]. Within the BW regime, however, H varies little with \dot{V} . Figure 5 C and D show that the BW forms in a region of low \dot{Q} . Since entrainment velocity is directly proportional to \dot{Q} (see Fig.5 in [6]), this leads to low Γ for BWs. \dot{Q}_f^* , however, is comparable for FWs and BWs (Figure 7A).

Figure 7B indicates that the BW forms in a region where Γ_f^* is relatively high. As Γ_f^* decreases, there is a steep increase in H^* , leading to the FW. With further decrease in Γ_f^* , the effect of circulation on the buoyant plume reduces. LFWs are formed when $\Gamma_f^* \in (0, 1)$ and flame height varies roughly linearly with circulation [4, 9]. Eventually, when $\Gamma_f^* = 0$, a free-convection pool-fire is formed [29, 30]. Here, H^* depends on other factors such as \dot{Q} and the pool diameter [31, 32].

Plotting \dot{Q}_{f}^{*} vs. Γ_{f}^{*} allows for the different regimes to be more clearly distinguished, presented in Figure 8. Since measurements of the LFW (at $\Gamma_{f}^{*} < 1$) are not available from this work, data of LFWs from the litera-



FIG. 8. Γ_f^* as a function of \dot{Q}_f^* for the different regimes in this study. Also included are markers for LFWs, calculated from data in *Table 1* in [33], whose experiments used a propane burner within a square cross-section setup, with natural air entrainment at $S^*=0.111$ (calculated based on the definition in this study) and $\dot{Q} \in [25, 300]$ kW. The blue markers correspond to BWs, magenta to TBWs, yellow to FWs, and black to LFWs.

ture are used for comparison. Fire whirls similar to the LFW were investigated by Lei et al. [33] using a propane burner in a square fixed-frame setup. The LFWs in their experiments form in a narrow range of Γ_f^* , lower than the FWs in this study, and are also included for comparison in Figures 8 and 9.

The quantities Q_f^* and Γ_f^* are of the same order and

represent buoyancy and circulation, respectively. The dashed line in Figure 8 represents $\Gamma_f^* = \dot{Q}_f^*$, where the influence of circulation and buoyancy is roughly balanced. For the flame regimes above this line, circulation dominates (i.e., $\Gamma_f^* > \dot{Q}_f^*$), and for those below, buoyancy dominates (i.e., $\Gamma_f^* < \dot{Q}_f^*$). In general, the BW lies above the $\Gamma_f^* = \dot{Q}_f^*$ line, and FWs in the region below. Data points for LFWs are well within the buoyancy-dominated region. Figure 9A and Table I show that for naturally-entrained FWs, with increasing scale, buoyancy tends to dominate circulation (see markers for LFW). This is a consequence of larger \dot{Q} , which for liquid-fueled FWs is partially controlled by the fuel pool diameter.

Of the five data points available for the TBW regime, three lie between the FW and BW regimes. This transition regime is expected to occur in the neighborhood of $\Gamma_f^* = \dot{Q}_f^*$, although Figure 8 shows two instances deviating more towards the FW side (these deviations are observed for one instance of the BW at $S^* = 0.147$ and two FWs at $S^* = 0.073$). This spread in TBW data is expected from the large fluctuations in H in this regime (see Figure 5 C and D). Additionally, only five data points exist for the TBW regime in our work, and the trend may become more apparent when there is more data available.

The quantity $(\Gamma_f^*)^2$ is the Froude number, Fr. While Fr represents the competition between buoyant and external momentum, it does not fully represent the effect of circulation on H. Chuah et al. [27] pointed out that the value of Ro (Rossby number) in relation to Fr was important for quantifying the effect of circulation on burning rate in whirling flames. This is especially applicable to configurations with natural air entrainment where Γ and \dot{Q} are not independent.

While Γ_f^* varies significantly for the BW and FW regimes, it does not help in comparing the local relationship between axial and buoyant momenta. Thus for whirling flames, it is more useful to represent the competition between circulation (tangential component) and buoyancy (axial component) in the form of the ratio $\mathcal{R}^* = (\Gamma_f^* / \dot{Q}_f^*)$. The value of this ratio for the different regimes is shown in Table I. Figure 9(B) shows the relationship between H^* with (\mathcal{R}^*) for all the regimes. The relationship is approximated by a least-squares fit as $H^* = 4.14/(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^*)^{1.3\bar{2}}$. This graph shows that transition to the BW occurs in the neighborhood of $\mathcal{R}^* = 1$, when circulation begins to dominate buoyancy. In other reacting or nonreacting swirl flows, this condition leads to the formation of a recirculation zone (RZ), and to vortex breakdown [16, 18]. In swirl burners, the threshold value for RZ formation is S = 0.6 [17].

Earlier work showed the presence of a RZ within the BW and suggested that the shape of the BW regime may be governed by the bubble mode of vortex breakdown [19, 34]. While the RZ is visible during the transition process, it is not visible in a stable BW due to the absence of soot tracers [7]. Assuming that the development of a RZ is necessary for BW formation [12], \mathcal{R}^* is analo-

TABLE I. Values of $\mathcal{R}^* = (\Gamma_f^* / \dot{Q}_f^*)$ for the BW, TBW, FW and LFW regimes.

<i>C</i> *	\mathcal{R}^*			
S**	BW	TBW	FW	LFW
0.045	3.39	0.78	0.71	
(S = 15 mm)	1.6		0.81	
0.073	3.15	0.78	1.82	
(S = 25 mm)	1.94	1.02	1.78	
0.099	1.16		0.44	
(S = 35 mm)			0.66	
			0.61	
			0.55	
0.124	3.33	0.43	0.44	
(S = 45 mm)	2.73		0.5	
			0.48	
0.147	0.92	0.52	0.4	
(S = 55 mm)	2.18		0.56	
0.111				0.18
(S = 300 mm)				0.2
From [33]				0.2
				0.19
				0.2
				0.2
				0.19

gous to S in predicting the onset of vortex breakdown in whirling flames, and may therefore represent an appropriate nondimensional scale to distinguish whirling flames. Table I shows that the threshold value of \mathcal{R}^* leading to vortex breakdown and BW formation is ≈ 1 .

The large difference in the values of \mathcal{R}^* for FWs and BWs provides hints as to why the BW regime was not observed in previous FW experiments. In addition to the smooth bottom boundary without obstructions to the incoming radial flow [7], a circulation-dominated regime $(\Gamma_f^* > \dot{Q}_f^*)$ is required for transition to the BW. FWs subject to strong vorticity have been studied previously [27, 35] and it was found that elongation in FW flame length, when compared to a pool fire, cannot be fully attributed to increasing burning rates. This suggests that that the vortex structure, within which fuel fractions are high, plays a significant role in the increased flame height.

In the case of transition from FWs to BWs, increasing Γ_f^* results in suppression of H^* , eventually leading to BW formation (Figures 7B and 9). The BW does not show much variation in H^* (see Figure 7A), which may be attributed to the existence of a RZ upon vortex breakdown. The RZ potentially aids in better mixing, leading to a smaller volume required for the reaction to occur and causing suppression of H^* . This is similar to the reduction in flame height of laminar jet diffusion flames upon transition to turbulence [28].

Coenen et al. [12] noted that for a given fuel pool diameter, BW formation required evaporation of fuel from a fraction of the total pool area such that \dot{Q} and H decrease simultaneously. The contraction in evaporation area is a consequence of intensification in vorticity as



FIG. 9. Comparison of experimental data in this work with those for LFWs in the literature [33]. (A) The LFW regime occurs when $\Gamma_f^* \in (0, 1)$. The value of H^* for LFWs in the literature are many times that of the FWs in this study, and an order magnitude higher than the BWs. (B) The FW-to-BW transition occurs around $\Gamma_f^*/\dot{Q}_f^* \approx 1$, in the circulation-dominated regime.

the width of the FW vortex core reduces. This behavior agrees with the data from the present work, where decreasing H^* and \dot{Q}_f^* is enabled by increasing Γ_f^* . Since circulation is conserved radially [2], a reduced vortex core diameter allows tangential momentum to overcome axial momentum locally, resulting in the conditions required for a vortex breakdown bubble to form and results in the BW. The limits presented in this work are determined from experiments on regimes formed by natural air entrainment. Controlling the transition process or further expanding the envelope of BW formation conditions, if possible by means of external forced entrainment, will require independent control of \dot{Q} , Γ and the fuel pool area to ensure $\Gamma_f^* > \dot{Q}_f^*$.

For all of the flame regimes, the influence of Γ and \hat{Q} is weak on w_f but strong on H. The flame dimensions are determined by the axial flux of fuel vapor, which is determined by \dot{V} , and thus the diameter of the fuel pool over the water surface. For a given flame regime, the fuel mass flux upon evaporation is nearly constant, and the increase in specific volume upon combustion of the fuel vapors shows a near-linear growth in H rather than w_f . This is similar to the behavior of jet diffusion flames, for which the flame height in the laminar regime depends on the volumetric flow rate of fuel from the fuel port, before a transition to turbulence reduces the flame height (see *Fig. 5.10* in [28]).

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The transition from fire whirls to blue whirls was studied by a scaling approach. Using raw data from previous work and new measurements of flame geometry, an investigation of the scaling parameters now allows us to understand the relative influences of circulation and buoyancy in determining the different fire whirl regimes. In addition to data from previous work, new measurements of the flame geometry (height, H, and width, w_f) were obtained from videos.

The flame width did not vary significantly with circulation (Γ) or heat-release rate (\dot{Q}) for the different flame regimes. The relationship between H and Γ was exponential, and between H and \dot{Q} was nearly linear. Using Hand w_f as the characteristic length scales, two primary nondimensional quantities were defined: dimensionless heat-release rate, \dot{Q}_f^* , and dimensionless circulation, Γ_f^* . These quantities were of the same order of magnitude, and represent the role of buoyancy and circulation in each regime.

The ratio of these quantities, $\mathcal{R}^* = (\Gamma_f^*/Q_f^*)$, represents the relative influences of circulation and buoyancy on the flame. This is analogous to the swirl number for swirling jets, where the relative magnitudes of axial Reynolds number and azimuthal swirl determine the flame regime. The influence of this ratio on the tranistion may be summarized as

1	< 1,	buoyancy dominated; FW
$\mathcal{R}^* = \langle$	$\approx 1,$	transitional; TBW
	> 1.	circulation dominated; BW

A value of $\mathcal{R}^* < 1$ represents a flow field dominated by buoyancy, and most fire whirls belong to this regime, with values in the range [0.4, 0.8]. Two instances of fire whirls (referred to as "conical fire whirls" in [4]) showed an average value of 1.8. The value of this ratio was calculated to be ~0.2 for large fire whirls in the literature, placing them well within the buoyancy-dominated regime.

For the blue whirls in this study, $\mathcal{R}^* \in [0.9, 3.4]$, and

generally $\mathcal{R}^* > 1$. This indicates a flow regime where circulation dominates over the buoyancy locally. The transitional blue whirl is defined as a regime that continuously switches between the blue and fire whirl, and may theoretically be expected to occur when the ratio is in the neighborhood of 1, where the effects of buoyancy and circulation are roughly equal. The number of data points for transitional blue whirls was limited and calculated to be in the range of [0.43, 1]. This range extends more towards the buoyancy-dominated side, and is attributed to the large fluctuations in H, caused by repeated alternation between the fire whirl and blue whirl regimes.

The transition from the fire whirl to the blue whirl occurs at a threshold value of $\mathcal{R}^* = 1$, roughly where circulation begins to dominate buoyancy. This favors the formation of a recirculation zone, leading to the onset of vortex breakdown. This is one reason why the blue whirl was not discovered in previous apparatus. Previous fire whirl apparatus generated only buoyancy-dominated regimes by design, and did not provide the strong radial inflow at the bottom boundary surface [7]. The right combination of low \dot{Q} and natural entrainment at the right length scale provided the optimal conditions for its discovery in the experiments performed by Xiao et al. [5]. With the results presented here, future experiments of the blue whirl can be designed with forced entrainment apparatus to control Q and Γ independently at different length scales to directly hone in on the BW regime.

This work provides a quantitative basis to explain the factors controlling the transition from the fire whirl to the blue whirl and lays the foundation for future experimental and numerical efforts on fire whirls and blue whirls. Open questions still remain regarding the flow structure within the recirculation zone and the mixing conditions that stabilize the flame at the bright blue ring. Future measurements using non-intrusive laser diagnostics of the flow-field in the vicinity of the flame and the distribution of radical species will be helpful in further understanding these better.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work presented here is a significant part of two PhD dissertations, one by S.B.H from the University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States, and another by Y.H., obtained when he was at Tsinghua University, Beijing, China and a visiting student at the University of Maryland. Each made independent and significant contributions to the data collection, analvses, and interpretations in this paper. This work was funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF, CBET-1507623), the Texas A&M University through TEES Eminent Research Professorship, China Scholarship Council (CSC, no. 201606210356), and the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE, contract no. E17PC00016). Opinions, findings, conclusions and recommendations expressed here are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of NSF, CSC or BSEE.

VIII. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None.

- G. M. Byram and R. E. Martin, Fire Whirlwinds in the Laboratory, Fire Control Notes 23, 13 (1962).
- [2] H. W. Emmons and S.-J. Ying, The fire whirl, Symposium (International) on Combustion 11, 475 (1967).
- [3] G. M. Byram and R. E. Martin, The Modeling of Fire Whirlwinds, Forest Science 16, 386 (1970).
- [4] J. Lei, N. Liu, Y. Jiao, and S. Zhang, Experimental investigation on flame patterns of buoyant diffusion flame in a large range of imposed circulations, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute **36**, 3149 (2017).
- [5] H. Xiao, M. J. Gollner, and E. S. Oran, From fire whirls to blue whirls and combustion with reduced pollution, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 9457 (2016).
- [6] Y. Hu, S. B. Hariharan, H. Qi, M. J. Gollner, and E. S. Oran, Conditions for formation of the blue whirl, Combustion and Flame **205**, 147 (2019).
- [7] S. B. Hariharan, P. M. Anderson, H. Xiao, M. J. Gollner, and E. S. Oran, The blue whirl: Boundary layer effects, temperature and OH* measurements, Combustion and Flame **203**, 352 (2019).

- [8] K. Kuwana, K. Sekimoto, K. Saito, and F. A. Williams, Scaling fire whirls, Fire Safety Journal 43, 252 (2008).
- [9] K. Hartl and A. Smits, Scaling of a small scale burner fire whirl, Combustion and Flame 163, 202 (2016).
- [10] A. Tohidi, M. J. Gollner, and H. Xiao, Fire Whirls, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 50, 187 (2018).
- [11] K. H. Chuah, K. Kuwana, and K. Saito, Modeling a fire whirl generated over a 5-cm-diameter methanol pool fire, Combustion and Flame 156, 1828 (2009).
- [12] W. Coenen, E. J. Kolb, A. L. Sánchez, and F. A. Williams, Observed dependence of characteristics of liquid-pool fires on swirl magnitude, Combustion and Flame **205**, 1 (2019).
- [13] S. Leibovich, The Structure of Vortex Breakdown, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 10, 221 (1978).
- [14] J. H. Faler and S. Leibovich, Disrupted states of vortex flow and vortex breakdown, Physics of Fluids 20, 1385 (1977).
- [15] T. Sarpkaya, On stationary and travelling vortex breakdowns, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 45, 545 (1971).
- [16] N. Syred and J. Beér, Combustion in swirling flows: A review, Combustion and Flame 23, 143 (1974).

- [17] S. Candel, D. Durox, T. Schuller, J.-F. Bourgouin, and J. P. Moeck, Dynamics of Swirling Flames, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 46, 147 (2014).
- [18] A. K. Gupta, D. G. Lilley, and N. Syred, Swirl flows (Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, 1984).
- [19] S. B. Hariharan, E. T. Sluder, M. J. Gollner, and E. S. Oran, Thermal structure of the blue whirl, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute **37**, 4285 (2019).
- [20] S. B. Hariharan, Y. Wang, P. M. Anderson, W. D. Kulatilaka, M. J. Gollner, and E. S. Oran, Understanding Combustion in the Blue Whirl using Optical Diagnostics, in 72nd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Fluid Dynamics (Seattle, Washington, 2019).
- [21] J. Chung, X. Zhang, C. Kaplan, and E. S. Oran, Blue whirl structure revelead, in 72nd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Fluid Dynamics (Seattle, Washington, 2019).
- [22] J. Lei, N. Liu, L. Zhang, H. Chen, L. Shu, P. Chen, Z. Deng, J. Zhu, K. Satoh, and J. L. De Ris, Experimental research on combustion dynamics of medium-scale fire whirl, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute **33**, 2407 (2011).
- [23] M. D. Abràmoff, P. J. Magalhães, and S. J. Ram, Image processing with imageJ, Biophotonics International 11, 36 (2004).
- [24] N. Otsu, A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics 9, 62 (1979).
- [25] G. Heskestad, Luminous heights of turbulent diffusion flames, Fire Safety Journal 5, 103 (1983).

- [26] B. R. Munson, D. F. Young, T. H. Okiishi, and W. W. Huebsch, Fundamentals Sixth Edition of Fluid Mechanics, 6th ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2010).
- [27] K. H. Chuah, K. Kuwana, K. Saito, and F. A. Williams, Inclined fire whirls, Proceedings of the Combustion Institute 33, 2417 (2011).
- [28] A. Linan and F. A. Williams, Fundamental Aspects of Combustion (Oxford University Press, New York, 1993).
- [29] H. Emmons, Fundamental problems of the free burning fire, Symposium (International) on Combustion 10, 951 (1965).
- [30] J. Lei and N. Liu, Reciprocal transitions between buoyant diffusion flame and fire whirl, Combustion and Flame 167, 463 (2016).
- [31] E. E. Zukoski, Properties of fire plumes, in *Combustion Fundamentals of Fire* (Academic Press Ltd., 1995) pp. 101–219.
- [32] J. G. Quintiere, Fundamental of Fire Phenomena, , 439 (2006).
- [33] J. Lei, N. Liu, L. Zhang, and K. Satoh, Temperature, velocity and air entrainment of fire whirl plume: A comprehensive experimental investigation, Combustion and Flame 162, 745 (2015).
- [34] S. Hariharan, Y. Hu, H. Xiao, M. Gollner, and E. S. Oran, The Structure of the Blue Whirl, in 70th Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Fluid Dynamics (Denver, Colorado, 2017).
- [35] A. Y. Klimenko and F. A. Williams, On the flame length in firewhirls with strong vorticity, Combustion and Flame 160, 335 (2013).